1731 & #41-2103

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE Group Art Unit 1731

I, Ellen R. Webb, hereby certify that this correspondence is

Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on the date of my

January 6, 2003

Date of Signature

being deposited with the US Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Assistant Commissioner for

In re Patent Application of:

Michael J. Bricco, et al.

Application No.: 10/027,507

Confirmation No.: 2077

Filed: December 21, 2001

Examiner: Peter Chin

Title: "METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR FORMING A PAPER OR TISSUE WEB"

Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

Transmitted herewith is a Response to the Restriction Requirement dated November 6, 2003 for the above-identified application. Applicant claims small entity status. Applicant respectfully requests a one-month extension of time to file this response. Our check in the amount of \$55 is enclosed in payment of the extension fee. In the event that additional fees are due, please charge Deposit Account No. 13-3080. Please charge or credit Deposit Account No. 13-3080 with any shortage or overpayment of the fees associated with this communication.

Respectfully submitted,

Christopher B. Austin Reg. No. 41,592

File No. 093395-9001-00

Michael Best & Friedrich LLP 100 East Wisconsin Avenue Suite 3300 Milwaukee, WI 53202-4108 (414) 271-6560

01/14/2003 CCHAU1 00000134 10027507

01 FC:2251

55.00 OP

JAN 1 3 2003 W

I, Ellen R. Webb, hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the US Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on the date of my signature.

Signature

January 6, 2003
Date of Signature

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Bricco et al.	) Group Art Unit: 1731	
Serial No.: 10/027,507	) Examiner: Peter Chin	
Filed: December 21, 2001	) Date: January 6, 2003	
For: "METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR FORMING A PAPER OR TISSUE WEB"	) Our Ref: 093395-9001 )	JAN
Assistant Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C., 20231		16 16
RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT		2003 4TER 1700

Sir:

This paper is filed in response to the Office action mailed November 6, 2002 in the above-referenced patent application.

On page 2 of t' ce Action, the Applicants are required to elect one of three inventions identified the Examiner. The Applicants hereby elect, without traverse, Group I (claims 1-56 an 1/1-114) for further prosecution in the present application.

Applicants are also required to elect one of two numbered species identified by the Examiner on page 3, paragraph 5 of the Office action. Applicants hereby elect, without traverse, Species No. 1 (claims 1-2, 4-15, 18-29 and 107-114) directed toward a vibrational device with one vibration-inducing mechanism or a method of transferring one vibrational force. In this regard, the Applicant notes that this restriction is insufficiently specific with regard to the subject matter of the restriction. Specifically, the Applicant respectfully submits that the restriction to Species I (i.e., species having one vibrational device) does not limit the scope of the elected

claims only to devices and methods in which a single vibrational device is employed. Instead, and in light of the description of Species II provided by the Examiner, Species I is limited to devices and methods employing at least one vibrational device, while Species II is limited to devices and methods employing at least two vibrational devices that are operable at different frequencies and amplitudes. In other words, because the claims of Species I do not refer to one (and only one) vibrational device, the claims of Species I cover devices and methods in which a single vibrational device is employed and in which more than one vibrational device is employed.

On page 3 of the Office Action, the Applicants are further required to elect one of three species of claims that read on either Figure 5a, Figure 5b, or Figure 5d. Applicants hereby elect, with traverse, the species of claims that read on Figure 5a (Claims 1-2, 4-14, 18-29 and 107-114). In this regard, the Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of at least the restriction between the species identified in Figure 5d and those of Figures 5a and 5b. The vibrational device illustrated in Figure 5d is an alternative vibrational device to those illustrated in Figures 5a and 5b, and is interchangeable with those employed in Figures 5a and 5b. Accordingly, general structure and manner of operation disclosed with respect to the systems illustrated in Figs. 5a and 5b are substantially the same regardless of whether the vibrational devices employed in the systems are pneumatic, hydraulic, electro-magnetic, or otherwise.

Also on page 3 of the Office Action, the Applicants are still further required to elect one of five species of claims that read on Figure 8a, Figure 8b, Figure 8c, Figure 8d, or Figure 8e. Applicants hereby elect, with traverse, the species identified by Figure 8e (Claims 1-2, 4-15, 18-27, 107-108, 110-111).

Early, favorable consideration of the application is respectfully requested. The Examiner is invited to contact the undersigned if he believes it would be helpful.

Respectfully submitted,

Christopher B. Austin

Registration No. 41,592

Michael Best & Friedrich LLP 100 East Wisconsin Avenue Milwaukee, WI 53202 (414) 271-6560